

From:Rogitz &amp; Assoc.

619 338 8078

10/03/2008 16:44

#182 P.001/007

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

OCT 03 2008

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

First Named Applicant: Lotspiech	)	Art Unit: 2134
	)	
Serial No.: 10/042,652	)	Examiner: Wyszynski
	)	
Filed: January 8, 2002	)	ARC920010090US1
	)	
For: <b>METHOD FOR ENSURING CONTENT PROTECTION AND SUBSCRIPTION COMPLIANCE</b>	)	October 3, 2008
	)	750 B STREET, Suite 3120
	)	San Diego, CA 92101
	)	

**RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION**

Commissioner for Patents  
Alexandria, VA

Dear Sir:

This responds to the Office Action dated September 26, 2008, levying obviousness-type double patenting rejections and Section 103 rejections against Claims 3, 7, 8, 12, 13, and 15-22 on the basis of Lotspiech, USPN 7,039,803. Because this paper merely cancels claims and removes a reference as both obviousness-type double patenting and Section 103 prior art, entry after final is appropriate.

1053-130.AM3